

Educational intervention to improve the competence of Mexican physicians to provide nutritional management for *diabetes mellitus* type 2

Intervención educativa para mejorar la competencia de médicos mexicanos para manejar nutricionalmente *diabetes mellitus* Tipo 2

Carlos E. Cabrera-Pivaral, René C. Crocker-Sagástume,
Marco A. Zavala-González and Priscilla Muñoz-Mendoza

Received 8th November 2017 / Sent for Modification 28th January 2018 / Accepted 16th October 2018

ABSTRACT

CC: Bachelor's Degree in Medical-Surgical Sciences. Ph.D. Health Sciences. Universidad de Guadalajara, University Center for Health Sciences, Discipline Division for Health Development, Promotion and Preservation, Department of Public Health. Guadalajara. Jalisco, México. carlos_cabrera@prodigy.net.mx

RC: MD. Ph.D. Education. Universidad de Guadalajara, University Center for Health Sciences, Discipline Division of for Health Development, Promotion and Preservation, Department of Public Health. Guadalajara. Jalisco, Mexico. recricrosa_7@hotmail.com

MZ: MD. Ph.D. Public Health Sciences. Universidad de Guadalajara, Tonalá University Center, Division of Health Sciences, Department of Population Health Sciences. Tonalá. Jalisco, Mexico. zgma_51083@yahoo.com.mx

PM: Bachelor's Degree in Nutrition. Ph.D. Public Health Sciences. Universidad de Guadalajara, University Center for Health Sciences, Discipline Division for Health Development, Promotion and Preservation, Department of Public Health. Guadalajara. Jalisco, Mexico. prismuniz24@gmail.com

Objective To evaluate the effect of a participative educational intervention on the clinical competence of Mexican family physicians regarding the nutritional management of patients with *diabetes mellitus* Type 2.

Materials and Methods Quasi-experimental study with a before-and-after control group. Convenience sample included 60 family physicians distributed in two social security primary health care units, randomly selected: 30 in the "A" unit and 30 in the "B" unit. Unit "A" was assigned randomly as control group, and "B" unit as intervention group. The intervention consisted of a theoretical-practical course-workshop that lasted six months where real cases were discussed and solved. Clinical competence was evaluated by means of an instrument designed ex professo, with a maximum theoretical value of 100 and 94% reliability according to the Kuder-Richardson test. Medians of clinical competence were compared among groups, before and after intervention, using the Mann-Whitney U test, while frequencies distribution of clinical competence level were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Results Overall medians and intervals for unit "A" were 28 (9-45) pre-intervention and 34 (11-51) pos-intervention, with before-after difference $p > 0.05$; for unit "B", values were 32 (12-50) pre-intervention, 61 (36-82) pos-intervention, and before-after difference $p \leq 0.05$. No significant differences were found among groups pre-intervention ($p > 0.05$), although they were observed pos-intervention ($p \leq 0.05$).

Conclusions The educational intervention evaluated proved to improve, in a statistically significant way, the overall and by dimensions clinical competence level of Mexican family physicians for nutritional management of patients with *diabetes mellitus* type 2.

Key Words: Primary health care; *diabetes mellitus*, type 2; diet therapy; education, medical; clinical competence; controlled before-after studies (source: MeSH, NLM).

RESUMEN

Objetivo Evaluar el efecto de una intervención educativa participativa sobre la competencia clínica de médicos familiares mexicanos para el manejo nutricional de pacientes con *diabetes mellitus* Tipo 2.

Método Estudio cuasiexperimental con grupo control antes-después. Se estudió una muestra por conveniencia de 60 médicos familiares distribuidos en dos unidades médicas de atención primaria de seguridad social elegidas al azar, 30 en la "A" y 30 en la "B". La unidad "A" fue designada grupo control, y la "B" de intervención. La intervención consistió en un curso-taller teórico-práctico que duró seis meses, donde se discutieron y resolvieron casos reales. La competencia clínica se evaluó mediante un instrumento diseñado ex professo, con un valor teórico máximo de 100, y una fiabilidad de 94%

según prueba de Kuder-Richardson. Se compararon las medianas de competencia clínica entre grupos antes y después mediante la prueba U de Mann-Whitney, y cinco las distribuciones de frecuencias de los niveles de competencia clínica mediante la prueba de Kolmogórov-Smirnov ($p \leq 0,05$).

Resultados Medianas e intervalos de la calificación global: unidad “A” 28 (9-45) pre-intervención, 34 (11-51) pos-intervención, diferencia antes-después $p \leq 0,05$; unidad “B” 32 (12-50) pre-intervención, 61 (36-82) pos-intervención, diferencia antes-después $p \leq 0,05$. No se encontró diferencia significativa entre los grupos pre-intervención ($p > 0,05$), y si pos-intervención ($p \leq 0,05$).

Conclusiones La intervención educativa evaluada demostró mejorar, de forma estadísticamente significativa, el nivel de competencia clínica global y por dimensión, de médicos familiares mexicanos para el manejo nutricional de pacientes con *diabetes mellitus* tipo 2.

Palabras Clave: Atención primaria de salud; *diabetes mellitus* tipo 2; dietoterapia; educación médica; competencia clínica; estudios controlados antes y después (fuente: DeCS, BIREME).

Diabetes mellitus has been a public health problem for decades due to its magnitude and transcendence (1,2). In Mexico, in 2002, there were 5 million diabetic patients and estimations are there will be 15 million by 2025. At least 90% of all diabetic patients suffer from type 2 diabetes (3), which is why it requires a comprehensive management that involves clinical, social and psychological aspects. To this end, the clinical competence of family physicians for the nutritional management of the condition is highly relevant as it will help guide patients to gaining knowledge on their illness and the way it should be taken care of.

Health services seldom estimate the abilities of family physicians to identify patient's nutritional habits and to direct the nutritional management of *diabetes mellitus* type 2; in this context, abilities are defined as “clinical aptitude” or “clinical competence” (4). Said clinical aptitude or competence consists in seeking the best nutritional care for the patient with *diabetes mellitus*, in order to generate formative processes directed to create knowledge based on their own experience.

According to some authors, family physicians should recognize the potential difficulties of type 2 diabetic patients regarding diet and therapeutic compliance, as well as facilitate the tasks of patients and their relatives throughout the entire treatment to establish a normal flow of their metabolic control (5-7).

Viniegra (8,9) studied physicians' competence and performance in primary health care. The goal was to evaluate the clinical practice of family physicians when addressing *diabetes mellitus* type 2. From an integrative perspective, identifying the nutritional management of diabetic patients by family physicians requires being able to recognize research indicators, which will be explained later, and being able to interpret and provide guidance on factors and conditions related with nutritional compliance (10-12).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of a participative educational intervention on the clinical

competence of Mexican family physicians for nutritional management of patients with *diabetes mellitus* type 2. This is the first attempt to counterbalance the shortage of nutrition professionals in primary health care units of social security institutions in Mexico.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design, setting and temporality

A “before and after with control group” quasi-experimental study was conducted in two medical units selected randomly at the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, in the Guadalajara Metropolitan Area, Jalisco, Mexico, from January to October 2010. The units were located in different municipalities from the area to control the possible contamination of groups. These medical units were similar in number of physicians affiliated, attended population volume and availability of support services such as laboratory tests, X-rays, electrocardiography, among others.

Universe, sample and sampling

The universe was made up of 60 family physicians affiliated to the medical units, 30 in the medical unit “A” and 30 in the medical unit “B”. The study was conducted in a sample for convenience that included all family physicians affiliated to the medical units selected and met the selection criteria defined for this research. Randomly, the medical unit “A” was assigned as control group, and the medical unit “B” as intervention group.

Selection criteria

The participants included were affiliated family physicians, of any age and sex, working for the medical units selected, with different levels of seniority and with or without postgraduate studies, who agreed to participate in the research, provided their informed consent, completely answered the instruments and assisted to at least 80% of the sessions of the course (intervention group).

Variables and instruments

The independent variable was the participative educational intervention, and the dependent variable was the clinical competence of family physicians for the nutritional management of patients with *diabetes mellitus* type 2. Clinical competence was measured using an instrument designed and validated *ex professo*, which consists of 100 true, false and don't know questions, based on real clinical cases that sought to evaluate the ability of the physicians for solving clinical problems in five dimensions: 1) identifying risk factors, 2) identifying clinical data, 3) integrating the diagnosis, 4) using diagnostic resources, and 5) using therapeutic resources. These 100 questions were balanced in 50 with correct answer "true" and 50 with correct answer "false"; each correct answer granted one point, each incorrect answer subtracted one point, and each don't know answer granted zero points for a maximum theoretical score of 100 points (maximum theoretical value). The scores were divided into six strata of clinical competence: ≤ 19 points = explained by random; 20-35 points = very low; 36-51 points = low; 52-67 points = intermediate; 68-83 points = high; and 83-100 points = very high.

The construction of the instrument was carried out based on the known method used in the real clinical cases discussed (13,14), and clinical competence strata was obtained by applying the Pérez & Viniegra formula with true, false and don't know answers (15).

The content of the instrument was validated by means of a consensus method, submitting it for review by five experts in the field: three endocrinologists and two internists. Internal and external consistency was evaluated by applying the instrument to two samples for convenience of 25 target and layman subjects with at least three weeks difference between the first and second application. Internal consistency was measured with Kuder-Richardson, obtaining a result of 0.94 (94%). External consistency was measured with Spearman's rank correlation coefficient and resulted in 0.93 (93%). The construct validity was evaluated comparing the results of target and layman subjects by means of Mann-Whitney U test to identify significant differences between both; results favored the target group ($p \leq 0.05$) in the overall score and the dimensions score. Finally, criteria validity was not measured as there was not a gold standard to compare the results of the instrument.

Intervention design

The scope of the educational strategy was based on the participative focus of students through communication, which uses dialog, exchange of ideas, and sharing of common actions in the diagnosis and treatment of *diabetes me-*

llitus type 2. This educational intervention lasted 6 months, and was developed for 5 hours a week divided into 2 sessions, each one of 2 hours in the classroom and 1.5 hours at the doctor's practice. The process included the following stages:

Classroom activities. After the previously selected topics had been read by family physicians, classroom sessions were followed by the specific activities detailed below:

1. Theoretical presentation of the topic by the expert (40 minutes), which included information on the nutritional management of type 2 *diabetes mellitus*.
2. Small group discussions (30 minutes), where the students discussed the topic presented on the previous session, including an analytical review of the literature. Each group analyzed the common points of agreement and disagreement, and the reasons that strengthen diagnosis and treatment of *diabetes mellitus* type 2.
3. Debate (30 minutes), after the small-group discussion exercise. Students made a short presentation for the entire group of their ideas, comments, disagreements and arguments.
4. Review of clinical cases (5 minutes), during which physicians presented a clinical case in an orderly fashion, allowing everyone to have the opportunity to participate.
5. Comments on the critical literature review (15 minutes), discussion and analysis of clinical cases, identification of risk factors, identification of clinical data, integration of clinical diagnosis and treatment proposals.

Activities at the doctor's practice. The whole purpose of this activity was to provide care to patients with *diabetes mellitus* type 2 to strengthen the use of diagnostic criteria, risk factor identification and treatment. Consultations were carried out by the group of instructors, ensuring participation of all family physicians included in the study, for which the necessary steps were taken.

Different scientific texts and articles were reviewed for the construction of theoretical contents and pedagogical materials. The strategy was developed by an internist with a master's degree in Public Health Sciences, a family physician with a master's degree in Medical Sciences, a nutritionist with a master's degree in Education, and two family physicians with a master's degree in Nutrition. All of them had plenty of experience in participatory teaching and research activities.

Data collection and analysis plan

The instrument was applied by staff external to the research before and after the intervention to both groups. Data were systematized in SPSS® version 15.0 for Windows©. Medians and intervals for overall scores and

scores for each dimension were obtained, as well as frequencies distribution for clinical competence level for each group before and after intervention. Intra- and inter-group medians, before and after the intervention, were compared using the Wilcoxon test for intra-group medians and Mann-Whitney U test for inter-group medians, while changes in frequencies distribution of intra- and inter-groups, before and after intervention, were compared by means of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, both with 95% of confidence ($p \leq 0.05$).

Ethical considerations

This research and the research protocol from which it derived were approved by the Local Committee on Health Ethics and Research No. 1301 of the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Jalisco, Mexico, who classified it as “research of minimum risk” according to the Mexican laws valid at the time of the study (16), and granted it the Register No. 2010-1301-0028. Data were collected anonymously after signing a written informed consent.

RESULTS

This study was conducted with 60 family physicians, 30 from the medical unit “A” and 30 from the medical unit “B”. All of them met the inclusion criteria. No statistically significant differences were found among groups regarding age, sex, seniority and time elapsed since graduation ($p > 0.05$).

Table 1 shows the comparison of clinical competence levels between both groups, before and after intervention, where no statistically significant differences before intervention ($p > 0.05$) were found, although they were found after the intervention ($p \leq 0.05$). Table 2 depicts the comparison of clinical competence levels inside of groups before and after intervention. No statistically significant differences were found before the intervention ($p > 0.05$), but they were found after the intervention ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 3 shows the comparison of median overall scores and medians by dimension between both groups before and after intervention. Again, no statistically significant differences statistically were found before the interven-

Table 1. Inter-group clinical competence level before-after the intervention

Clinical competence level (Score)	Before			After		
	Unit A*	Unit B*	p†	Unit A*	Unit B*	p†
Explained by random (≤ 19)	4 (13.3)	7 (19.6)	> 0.05	4 (13.3)	0 (0)	≤ 0.05
Very low (20-35)	8 (26.6)	10 (30.0)		9 (30.0)	2 (6.6)	
Low (36-51)	16 (53.1)	15 (42.0)		15 (42.0)	19 (63.3)	
Intermediate (52-67)	2 (6.6)	3 (8.5)		2 (6.6)	9 (30.0)	
High (68-83)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	5 (16.6)	
Very high (83-100)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	

*Frequency (percentage [%]). †Obtained with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Table 2. Intra-group clinical competence level before-after the intervention

Clinical competence level (punctuation)	Unit A			Unit B		
	Before*	After*	p†	Before*	After*	p†
Explained by random (≤ 19)	4 (13.3)	4 (13.3)	> 0.05	7 (19.6)	0 (0)	≤ 0.05
Very low (20-35)	8 (26.6)	9 (30.0)		10 (30.0)	2 (6.6)	
Low (36-51)	16 (53.1)	15 (42.0)		15 (42.0)	19 (63.3)	
Intermediate (52-67)	2 (6.6)	2 (6.6)		3 (8.5)	9 (30.0)	
High (68-83)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	5 (16.6)	
Very high (83-100)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	

*Frequency (percentage [%]). †Obtained with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

tion ($p > 0.05$), but they were found after the intervention ($p \leq 0.05$). Finally, Table 4 shows the comparison of median overall scores and scores by dimension inside the groups, before and after intervention, finding no statistically significant differences before the intervention ($p < 0.05$), and statistically significant differences after the intervention ($p \leq 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Nutritional practices aimed at achieving metabolic control of patients with *diabetes mellitus* type 2 are a priority

in family medicine promotion programs. Even with this being a priority, results in this study do not back up such policy because many physicians have a low level of clinical aptitude. This supposes an obstacle for diabetic patient's health and their families (17).

Regarding the educational process, it was observed that both groups had a homogenous distribution at the beginning of the intervention as far as clinical competence levels are concerned. After the intervention, a downward displacement in clinical ability was observed in the control group. No case was attributed to the random effect, whereas the control group showed no modifications con-

Table 3. Inter-group clinical competence median by dimension before-after the intervention

Dimension (MTV)	Before			After		
	Unit A*	Unit B*	p†	Unit A*	Unit B*	p†
Risk factors (15)	5 (-2. 8)	4 (-15. 9)	> 0.05	6 (-1. 7)	9 (8. 12)	≤ 0.05
Clinical data (20)	10 (-1. 12)	10 (-20. 12)	> 0.05	11 (1. 14)	16 (12. 20)	≤ 0.05
Diagnosis (15)	0 (-6. 4)	0 (-12. 9)	> 0.05	2 (2. 4)	11 (4. 14)	≤ 0.05
Use of diagnostic resources (20)	9 (-3. 12)	11 (-20. 12)	> 0.05	11 (1. 12)	16 (11. 18)	≤ 0.05
Use of therapeutic resources (30)	8 (-6. 13)	8 (-22. 11)	> 0.05	10 (2. 15)	14 (10. 26)	≤ 0.05
Global score (100)	28 (9. 45)	32 (12. 50)	> 0.05	34 (11. 51)	61 (36. 82)	≤ 0.05

MTV = Maximum theoretical value. *Median (minimum, maximum). † Obtained using Mann-Whitney U test

Table 4. Intra-group clinical competence median by dimension before-after the intervention

Dimension (MTV)	Unit A			Unit B		
	Before*	After*	p†	Before*	After*	p†
Risk factors (15)	5 (-2. 8)	6 (-1. 7)	> 0.05	4 (-15. 9)	9 (8. 12)	≤ 0.05
Clinical data (20)	10 (-1. 12)	11 (1. 14)	> 0.05	10 (-20. 12)	16 (12. 20)	≤ 0.05
Diagnosis (15)	0 (-6. 4)	2 (2. 4)	> 0.05	0 (-12. 9)	11 (4. 14)	≤ 0.05
Use of diagnostic resources (20)	9 (-3. 12)	11 (1. 12)	> 0.05	11 (-20. 12)	16 (11. 18)	≤ 0.05
Use of therapeutic resources (30)	8 (-6. 13)	10 (2. 15)	> 0.05	8 (-22. 11)	14 (10. 26)	≤ 0.05
Global score (100)	28 (9. 45)	34 (11. 51)	> 0.05	32 (12. 50)	61 (36. 82)	≤ 0.05

*Frequency (percentage [%]). † Obtained with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

cerning ability levels. This lack of improvement may be due to the traditional teaching-learning models utilized in the informative learning process.

There are few studies that estimate clinical aptitude of health care providers and its measure has very little institutional background; in fact, this has been studied in Mexico since 1992 (4,18-21). Clinical aptitude for nutritional management of diabetic patients implies a series of complex abilities in family physicians and criteria to identify and solve in the best possible way the problematic situations in his/her daily practice.

The experimental group showed an upward displacement in competence levels of clinical ability regarding decision making in patient management. It is important to point out that only one physician was at a very low level and/or was explained by the random effect. Additionally, there was a 30% increase in medium clinical ability level and five physicians had a high level of competence (17,18).

The communicative-participative strategy, which was presented to the family physicians of the experimental group, was marked by reflection-action over the interpretation of risk factors, judgment of diagnostic and therapeutic measures, and the proposed management of the patient with *diabetes mellitus* type 2; these indicators of clinical ability show a relationship with the results of overall clinical ability. These observations are similar to those reported by other authors (19), which measured the effect of an educational intervention in critical readings of research reports. There was an outstanding improvement on all indicators except for those that identified signs and symptoms of *diabetes mellitus* type 2. Because of the persistent prevalence of these indicators in both groups, they were not modified despite the intervention.

This educational strategy, which is an alternative to the traditional model of education, allows for the improvement of family physicians at different levels, since educative methodology encourages physicians' participation in the construction of their own knowledge—created by confronting difficult, real clinical cases (20). In addition, medical and specialty programs provide little training in this regard.

Family medicine specialty education seeks to provide ideal theoretical and methodological tools (20,21) to offer comprehensive care to diabetic patients and their families. It consists of a three-year clinical rotation aiming to obtain specialized skills and knowledge on the top 30 pathologies that affect the Mexican population. The results of this study suggest a slight advantage of family medicine specialists related to their academic program and the clinical-psychosocial orientation of their practice (22). Reported results are similar to those referred by Sabido, who reports a low clinical aptitude in graduate physicians and low level in family physicians (8,9).

It is of utmost importance to acknowledge the existence of several factors influencing the results. One of the possible interpretations could be the hegemonic model of health care, which contributes to the physicians' way of thinking and the need to act in order to achieve goals and be efficient in their daily practice, given that physicians in this study have a daily practice described by an overload of consults, which hinder reflection and decision making. Also, they lack diagnostic and therapeutic resources, fact that limits their actions with serious consequences related to their lack of actual awareness and a holistic perspective of this disease.

From a pedagogical point of view, this generally low aptitude can be interpreted as a poor opportunity for family

physicians in medicine school, as well as in their graduate studies, to deepen into the nutrition area. Besides this frequent use of passive-receptive traditional educational activities during their education and practice, it was found that active participation is barely promoted (22,23).

The use of instruments (12,23,24) to measure aptitude, competence and work performance is increasing in human resources formation processes and continuous work education. This type of instrument, even when it discriminates the clinical aptitude of family physicians for nutritional management, was insufficient to provide guidance about the qualitatively responsible variables related to this non-statistically significant advantage, given that both study groups were conformed and received continuous education activities that were more associated with information consumption than with the creation of knowledge from their own experience. That causes the investigation and interpretation indicators to be greater than the guidance indicator.

The instrument design considers the indicators involved in clinical aptitude (risk factors identification, clinical data identification, diagnostic integration, use of diagnostic resources and use of therapeutic resources). These indicators were chosen according to the abilities that were considered as the most important for nutritional management, since there was an attempt to approach theory (abilities that the physicians should have) and practice (abilities that they actually have). Actual case reports were used for the reviews because they were closer to the daily activities of physicians.

The instrument was designed to make physicians use their abilities to identify and discriminate between timely and pertinent decisions and actions, on the one hand, and useless (worsening), untimely or impertinent decisions and actions, on the other (8,12,19). These educational processes promote participation, so when these instruments—that allow reflection and debate from personal experience—are used, they facilitate the elaboration of own knowledge during the evaluation phase (12,19,25,26).

This educational model encouraged the involvement of family physicians through the recognition of their own knowledge, constructed in a mainstream fashion and which unchained and directed refined proposals in the clinical practice of *diabetes mellitus* type 2 measured by self-criticism (27,28).

In this study, the experimental group was made up of specialist in family medicine. This could explain the good effect of the educational strategy in improving the levels of clinical ability. Before the intervention, scores of clinical competences were homogeneous, which is a good indicator of base control for these variables. Thus, im-

provement in the experimental group was related to the effect of the educational intervention and not to a probable confounding variable (29).

The participation of a leader in the experimental group is explained by the learning commitment in the reflection-action mode. He was responsible for the development of strategies that ensured family physicians' participation. The aim of this participation was to build own knowledge from reflection-action patterns that were not present in the control group (27-29). The continuous educational model towards clinical aptitude in family physicians should foster participation, known as a way of becoming the leading actors in the elaboration of knowledge. The idea is that physicians themselves are the ones who promote and live the experience guided by self-criticism (29,30).

With the study, a reorientation of the health services offer from the institutions is expected, as well as the review of medical and residency programs and the consolidation of family medicine in the Universities that provide professional education (31-33). Finally, the use of this educational investigation as a learning tool (which allows feedback of the educative process) allows consolidation of the learning strategies, thus encouraging family physicians' participation within the scope of training schemes for their clinical practice. These methods support the development of competence concerning clinical ability at different levels and can be applied not only in patients with *diabetes mellitus* type 2, but in those with any other condition, which requires the physician's clinical ability to reach an accurate diagnosis and timely treatment ♦

Conflict of interest: None.

REFERENCES

1. Dávila-Cervantes CA, Agudelo-Botero M, Gloria-Hernández LE. Diabetes en México y Colombia: Análisis de la tendencia de años de vida perdidos, 1998-2007. *Rev Salud Publica*. (Bogotá). 2011; 13(4):560-71.
2. Evert AB, Boucher JL, Cypress M. Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; 36(11):3821-42.
3. García J. Un modelo para la atención primaria de la Diabetes Mellitus Tipo 2. Una visión integral y participativa. México D.F., México: Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. 2000.
4. Viniegra L, Jiménez JL. Nuevas aproximaciones a la medición de la competencia clínica. *Rev Invest Clin*. 1992; 44:269-75.
5. Barceló A, Robles S, Whiter F, Jadue L, Vega J. Una intervención para mejorar el control de la diabetes en Chile. *Pan Am J Public Health*. 2001; 10(5):328-33.
6. Franz MJ, Warshaw H, Daly AE, Green-Pastors J, Arnold MS, Bantle J. Evolution of diabetes medical nutrition therapy. *Postgrad Med J*. 2003; 79(927):30-5.
7. Viniegra L, Jiménez JL, Pérez-Padilla JR. El desafío de la evaluación de la competencia clínica. *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc*. 1991; 43:87-95.

8. Sabido M, Viniegra L. Competencia y desempeño en la evaluación del médico: Estudio con pacientes diabéticos en el primer nivel de atención. In: Viniegra VL (Ed.). *La investigación en la educación: Papel de la teoría y de la observación*. México D.F., México: Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Unidad de Investigación Educativa. 2000.
9. Sabido M, Viniegra L. Aptitud y desempeño en la evaluación del médico en la investigación en la educación. México D.F., México: Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. 2000.
10. Paul E. New interventions in diabetes with medical nutrition therapy. *Case Manager*. 2002; 13(2):78-81.
11. Mercado B, Viniegra L, Leyva G. Aptitud para la lectura crítica de informes de investigación clínica en médicos residentes del IMSS en Jalisco. *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc*. 2001; 53(5):413-21.
12. Leff SS, Baum C, Bevans K, Blum N. Development, validation and utility of an instrument to assess core competencies in the leadership education. *Matern Child Health J*. 2015; 19:314-23.
13. Angoff WA. Scales, norms and equivalent scores. In: Thorndike RL (Ed.). *Educational measurement*. Washington D.C., E.E. U.U.: American Council of Education. 1971.
14. Hull AL, Hodder S, Berger B, Ginsberg D, Lindheim N, Quan J. Validity of three clinical performance assessments of internal medicine clerks. *Acad Med*. 1995; 70(6):517-22.
15. Pérez R, Viniegra L. Método para calcular la distribución de las calificaciones esperadas por azar en un examen del tipo falso, verdadero, no sé. *Rev Invest Clin*. 1989; 41:375-9.
16. Reglamento de la Ley General de Salud en Materia de Investigación para la Salud. México D.F., México: Diario Oficial de la Federación de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos. 1986.
17. García GR, Suárez PR, Mateo OM. Communication and interactive education in health and its application to the management of the diabetic patient. *Pan Am J Public Health*. 1997; 2(1):32-6.
18. Blumenthal D, Bernard K, Fras T, Bohnen J, Zeidman J, Stone V. Implementing a pilot leadership course for internal medicine residents: Design, considerations, participant impressions and lessons learned. *BMC Medical Education*. 2014; 14:257.
19. Christensen T. Assessing clinical competency. *Am J Health Sys Pharm*. 2014; 71:1612-5.
20. Romeu E, Miyar EP. Adquisición de habilidades clínicas en egresados de la primera cohorte de Medicina Integral Comunitaria. *EduMeCentro*. 2015; 7(1):44-61.
21. Searle J. Defining competency. The role of standard setting. *Med Educ*. 2000; 34(5):363-6.
22. Beresford SA, Curry SJ, Kristal AR, Lazovich D, Feng Z, Wagner EH. A dietary intervention in primary care practice: The eating patterns study. *Am J Public Health*. 1997; 87(4):610-6.
23. Rothman AI, Blackmore D, Cohen R, Reznick R. The consistency and uncertainty in examiners' definitions of pass/fail performance on OSCE stations. *Eval Health Prof*. 1996; 19(1):118-24.
24. Chima CS, Pollack HA. Position of the American Dietetic Association: Nutrition services in managed care. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2002; 102(10):1471-8.
25. Ilic D, Bin R, Glaziou P, Tilson JK, Villanueva E. A randomized controlled trial of a blended learning education intervention for teaching evidence-based medicine. *BMC Med Educ*. 2015; 15:39-41.
26. Davies H, Archer J, Bateman A, Dewar S, Crossley J, Grant J, Southgate L. Specialty-specific multi-source feedback: Assuring validity, informing training. *Med Educ*. 2008; 42(10):1014-20.
27. Wimmers PF, Splinter TA, Hancock GR, Schmidt HG. Clinical competence: General ability or case-specific? *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2007; 12(3):299-314.
28. Boursicot K. Structured assessments of clinical competence. *Br J Hosp Med*. 2010; 71(6):342-4.
29. Baig L, Violato C, Crutcher R. A construct validity study of clinical competence: A multitrait multimethod matrix approach. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*. 2010; 30(1):19-25.
30. Lou B, de Civita M, Ehrmann D, Bissonauth A, Bernatsky S. Care partnerships between family physicians and rheumatologists. *J Rheumatol*. 2011; 38(9):1981-5.
31. Lineker S, Bell M, Badley E. Evaluation of an inter-professional educational intervention to improve the use of arthritis best practices in primary care. *J Rheumatol*. 2011; 38(5):931-7.
32. Robbins L, Hoke M. Using objective structured clinical examinations to meet clinical competence evaluation challenges with distance education students. *Perspect Psychiatr Care*. 2008; 44(2):81-8.
33. Natsi BK, Schensul J, Schensul S, Mekki A, Pelto P, Maitra S, et al. A model for translating ethnography and theory into culturally constructed clinical practices. *Cult Med Psychiatr*. 2015; 39:92-120.

© 2018. This work is published under
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>(the “License”). Notwithstanding
the ProQuest Terms and Conditions, you may use this content in accordance
with the terms of the License.